# SAMM - V2 Ground rules – Notes

The goal is to look at SAMM 1 model and figure out how to make it work for any development process.

Do we alter the core business functions and if we do how does that affect SAMM users?

Make sure that V2 is futureproofed and can handle new development processes

Is it worth restructuring the current monthly SAMM meetings.

Global/universal SAMM scores.

Marketing SAMM ‘harder’ and pushing its strengths.

Show companies how SAMM complies with regulations.

Point Raised – One model or multiple

* One core model with several aspects that can be applied and altered to different types of organisations – **Yes**
* Solution to the different development processes and tagging – **Further research needed**
* Neutral aspects that can be applied presently and in future
* Model should be neutral - **Yes**
* Do the 12 security practices need amending
* Do we start from scratch or expand on the current model? **Evolution**
* No backwards compatibility if it holds back SAMM – **Desirable/low priority**

Point Raised - What is the scope and target of SAMM

* How much does SAMM cover?
* Leave the scope discussion to the end user
* Where do you draw the line on targeted software?
* Focus on objectives and leave interpretation to end user – (counter) Not enough structure and abstract definitions can lead to problems.
* Depending on the target audience of the model, the objectives have to be tailored in regard to their fluidity

Point Raised – Maturity levels

* How do we compare maturity levels between different applications?
* How many levels? Three allows for a less complicated system
* Decimals allow for more granularity in scores.
* The levels should be as balanced and symmetrical as possible but can be broken if the value proposition requires it
* A balance between simplicity and complexity
* What is the scale of maturity, what does each level mean?
* Activity weighting must be balanced in order to avoid ‘score chasing’
* The model must be as accessible as possible